

# DENSITY DICHOTOMY IN RANDOM WORDS

JOSHUA COOPER & DANNY RORABAUGH

*Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina*  
*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Queen's University*

ABSTRACT. Word  $W$  is said to *encounter* word  $V$  provided there is a homomorphism  $\phi$  mapping letters to nonempty words so that  $\phi(V)$  is a substring of  $W$ . For example, taking  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(h) = c$  and  $\phi(u) = ien$ , we see that “science” encounters “huh” since  $cienc = \phi(huh)$ . The density of  $V$  in  $W$ ,  $\delta(V, W)$ , is the proportion of substrings of  $W$  that are homomorphic images of  $V$ . So the density of “huh” in “science” is  $2/\binom{8}{2}$ . A word is *doubled* if every letter that appears in the word appears at least twice.

The dichotomy: Let  $V$  be a word over any alphabet,  $\Sigma$  a finite alphabet with at least 2 letters, and  $W_n \in \Sigma^n$  chosen uniformly at random. Word  $V$  is doubled if and only if  $\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We further explore convergence for nondoubled words and concentration of the limit distribution for doubled words around its mean.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Graph densities provide the basis for many recent advances in extremal graph theory and the limit theory of graph (see Lovász [8]). To see if this paradigm is similarly productive for other discrete structures, we here explore pattern densities in free words. In particular, we consider the asymptotic densities of a fixed pattern in random words as a first step in developing the combinatorial limit theory of free words.

**1.1. Definitions.** Free words (or simply, words) are elements of the semigroup formed from a nonempty alphabet  $\Sigma$  with the binary operation of concatenation, denoted by juxtaposition, and with the empty word  $\varepsilon$  as the identity element. The set of all finite words over  $\Sigma$  is  $\Sigma^*$  and the set of  $\Sigma$ -words of length  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is  $\Sigma^k$ . For alphabets  $\Gamma$  and  $\Sigma$ , a homomorphism  $\phi : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  is uniquely defined by a function  $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ . We call a homomorphism *nonerasing* provided it is defined by  $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma^* \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$ ; that is, no letter maps to  $\varepsilon$ , the empty word.

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be words. The *length* of  $W$ , denoted  $|W|$ , is the number of letters in  $W$ , including multiplicity. Denote with  $L(W)$  the set of letters found in  $W$  and with  $||W||$  the number of letter repeats in  $W$ , so  $|W| = |L(W)| + ||W||$ . For example  $|banana| = 6$ ,  $L(banana) = \{a, b, n\}$ , and  $||banana|| = 3$ .  $W$  has  $\binom{|W|+1}{2}$  *substrings*, each defined by an ordered pair  $(i, j)$  with  $0 \leq i < j \leq |W|$ . Denote with  $W[i, j]$  the word found in the  $(i, j)$ -substring, which consists of  $j - i$  consecutive letters of  $W$ , beginning with the  $(i + 1)$ -th.  $V$  is a *factor* of  $W$ , denoted  $V \leq W$ , provided  $V = W[i, j]$  for some  $0 \leq i < j \leq |W|$ ; that is,  $W = SVT$  for some (possibly empty) words  $S$  and  $T$ . For example,  $banana[2, 6] = nana \leq banana$ .

$W$  is an *instance* of  $V$ , or *V-instance*, provided there exists a nonerasing homomorphism  $\phi$  such that  $W = \phi(V)$ . (Here  $V$  is sometimes referred to as a *pattern* or *pattern word*). For example, *banana* is an instance of *cool* using homomorphism  $\phi$  defined by  $\phi(c) = b$ ,  $\phi(o) = an$ , and  $\phi(l) = a$ .  $W$  *encounters*  $V$ , denoted  $V \preceq W$ , provided  $W'$  is an instance of  $V$  for some factor  $W' \leq W$ . For example  $cool \preceq bananasplit$ . For  $W \neq \varepsilon$ , denote with  $\delta(V, W)$  the proportion of substrings of

$W$  that give instances of  $V$ . For example,  $\delta(xx, banana) = 2/\binom{7}{2}$ .  $\delta_{sur}(V, W)$  is the characteristic function for the event that  $W$  is an instance of  $V$ .

Fix alphabets  $\Gamma$  and  $\Sigma$ . An *encounter* of  $V$  in  $W$  is an ordered triple  $(a, b, \phi)$  where  $W[a, b] = \phi(V)$  for homomorphism  $\phi : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ . When  $\Gamma = L(V)$  and  $W \in \Sigma^*$ , denote with  $\text{hom}(V, W)$  the number of encounters of  $V$  in  $W$ . For example,  $\text{hom}(ab, cde) = 4$  since  $cde[0, 2]$  and  $cde[1, 3]$  are instances of  $ab$ , each for one homomorphism  $\{a, b\}^* \rightarrow \{c, d, e\}^*$ , and  $cde[0, 3]$  is an instance of  $ab$  under two homomorphisms. Note that the conditions on  $\Gamma$  and  $\Sigma$  are necessary for  $\text{hom}(V, W)$  to not be 0 or  $\infty$ .

**Fact 1.** For fixed words  $V$  and  $W \neq \varepsilon$ ,

$$\binom{|W|+1}{2} \delta(V, W) \leq \text{hom}(V, W).$$

**1.2. Background.** Word encounters have primarily been explored from the perspective of avoidance. Word  $W$  *avoids* a (pattern) word  $V$  provided  $V \not\leq W$ .  $V$  is *k-avoidable* provided, from a  $k$ -letter alphabet, there are infinitely many words that avoid  $V$ . The premier result on word avoidance is generally considered to be the proof of Thue [10] that the word  $aa$  is 3-avoidable but not 2-avoidable. Two seminal papers on avoidability, by Bean, Ehrenfeucht, and McNulty [1] and Zimin [11, 12], include classification of unavoidable words—that is, words that are not  $k$ -avoidable for any  $k$ . Recently, the authors [4] and Tao [9] investigated bounds on the length of words that avoid unavoidable words. There remain a number of open problems regarding which words are  $k$ -avoidable for particular  $k$ . See Lothaire [7] and Currie [6] for surveys on avoidability results and Blanchet-Sadri and Woodhouse [3] for recent work on 3-avoidability.

A word is *doubled* provided every letter in the word occurs at least twice. Otherwise, if there is a letter that occurs exactly once, we say the word is *nondoubled*. Every doubled word is  $k$ -avoidable for some  $k > 1$  [7]. For a doubled word  $V$  with  $k \geq 2$  distinct letters and an alphabet  $\Sigma$  with  $|\Sigma| = q \geq 4$ ,  $(k, q) \neq (2, 4)$ , Bell and Goh [2] showed that there are at least  $\lambda(k, q)^n$  words in  $\Sigma^n$  that avoid  $V$ , where

$$\lambda(k, q) = m \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(m-2)^k} \right)^{-1}.$$

This exponential lower bound on the number of words avoiding a doubled word hints at the moral of the present work: instances of doubled words are rare. For a doubled word  $V$  and an alphabet  $\Sigma$  with at least 2 letters, the probability that a random word  $W_n \in \Sigma^n$  avoids  $V$  is asymptotically 0. Indeed, the event that  $W_n[b|V|, (b+1)|V|]$  is an instance of  $V$  has nonzero probability and is independent for distinct  $b$ . Nevertheless,  $\delta(V, W_n)$ , the proportion of substrings of  $W$  that are instances of  $V$ , is asymptotically negligible.

## 2. THE DICHOTOMY

In this section, we establish a density-motivated bipartition of all free words into doubled and nondoubled words. From there, we present a more detailed analysis of the asymptotic densities in these two classes.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $V$  be a word on any alphabet. Fix an alphabet  $\Sigma$  with  $q \geq 2$  letters, and let  $W_n \in \Sigma^n$  be chosen uniformly at random. The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $V$  is doubled (that is, every letter in  $V$  occurs at least twice);
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) = 0$ .

*Proof.* First we prove (i)  $\implies$  (ii). Note that in  $W_n$ , there are in expectation the same number of encounters of  $V$  as there are of any anagram of  $V$ . Indeed, if  $V'$  is an anagram of  $V$  and  $\phi$  is a nonerasing homomorphism, then  $|\phi(V')| = |\phi(V)|$ .

**Fact 3.** If  $V'$  is an anagram of  $V$ , then  $\mathbb{E}(\text{hom}(V, W_n)) = \mathbb{E}(\text{hom}(V', W_n))$ .

Assume  $V$  is doubled and let  $\Gamma = L(V)$  and  $k = |\Gamma|$ . Given Fact 3, we consider an anagram  $V' = XY$  of  $V$ , where  $|X| = k$  and  $\Gamma = L(X) = L(Y)$ . That is,  $X$  comprises one copy of each letter in  $\Gamma$  and all the duplicate letters of  $V$  are in  $Y$ .

We obtain an upper bound for the average density of  $V$  by estimating  $\mathbb{E}(\text{hom}(V', W_n))$ . To do so, sum over starting position  $i$  and length  $j$  of encounters of  $X$  in  $W_n$  that might extend to an encounter of  $V'$ . There are  $\binom{j+1}{k+1}$  homomorphisms  $\phi$  that map  $X$  to  $W_n[i, i+j]$  and the probability that  $W_n[i+j, i+j+|\phi(Y)|] = \phi(Y)$  is at most  $q^{-j}$ . Also, the series  $\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \binom{j+1}{k+1} q^{-j}$  converges (try the ratio test) to some  $c$  not dependent on  $n$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) &\leq \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \mathbb{E}(\text{hom}(V', W_n)) \\
&< \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-|V|} \sum_{j=k}^{n-i} \binom{j+1}{k+1} q^{-j} \\
&< \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-|V|} c \\
&= \frac{c(n - |V| + 1)}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \\
&= O(n^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

We prove  $(ii) \Leftarrow (i)$  by contraposition. Assume there is a letter  $x$  that occurs exactly once in  $V$ . Write  $V = TxU$  where  $L(V) \setminus L(TU) = \{x\}$ . We obtain a lower bound for  $\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n))$  by only counting encounters with  $|\phi(TU)| = |TU|$ . Note that each such encounter is unique to its instance, preventing double-counting. For this undercount, we sum over encounters with  $W_n[i, i+j] = \phi(x)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) &= \mathbb{E}(\delta(TxU, W_n)) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \sum_{i=|T|}^{n-|U|-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i-|T|} q^{-|TU|} \\
&= q^{-|TU|} \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \sum_{i=|T|}^{n-|U|-1} (i - |T|) \\
&= q^{-|TU|} \frac{\binom{n-|U|-1}{2}}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \\
&\sim q^{-|TU|} \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

It behooves us now to develop more precise theory for these two classes of words: doubled and nondoubled. Lemma 5 below both helps develop that theory and gives insight into the detrimental effect that letter repetition has on encounter frequency.

**Fact 4.** For  $\vec{r} = \{r_1, \dots, r_k\} \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^k$  and  $d = \gcd_{i \in [k]}(r_i)$ , there exists integer  $N = N_{\vec{r}}$  such that for every  $n > N$  there exist coefficients  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $dn = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i r_i$  and  $a_i \leq N$  for  $i \geq 2$ .

**Lemma 5.** For any word  $V$ , let  $\Gamma = L(V) = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  where  $x_i$  has multiplicity  $r_i$  for each  $i \in [k]$ . Let  $U$  be  $V$  with all letters of multiplicity  $r = \min_{i \in [k]}(r_i)$  removed. Finally, let  $\Sigma$  be any finite alphabet with  $|\Sigma| = q \geq 2$  letters. Then for a uniformly randomly chosen  $V$ -instance  $W \in \Sigma^{dn}$ ,

where  $d = \gcd_{i \in [k]}(r_i)$ , there is asymptotically almost surely a homomorphism  $\phi : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  with  $\phi(V) = W$  and  $|\phi(U)| < \sqrt{dn}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a_n$  be the number of  $V$ -instances in  $\Sigma^n$  and  $b_n$  be the number of homomorphisms  $\phi : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  such that  $|\phi(V)| = n$ . Let  $b_n^1$  be the number of these  $\phi$  such that  $|\phi(U)| < \sqrt{n}$  and  $b_n^2$  the number of all other  $\phi$  so that  $b_n = b_n^1 + b_n^2$ . Similarly, let  $a_n^1$  be the number of  $V$ -instances in  $\Sigma^n$  for which there exists a  $\phi$  counted by  $b_n^1$  and  $a_n^2$  the number of instances with no such  $\phi$ , so  $a_n = a_n^1 + a_n^2$ . Observe that  $a_n^2 \leq b_n^2$ .

Without loss of generality, assume  $r_1 = r$  (rearrange the  $x_i$  if not). We now utilize  $N = N_{\overline{r}}$  from Proposition 4. For sufficiently large  $n$ , we can undercount  $a_{dn}^1$  by counting homomorphisms  $\phi$  with  $|\phi(x_i)| = a_i$  for the  $a_i$  attained from Fact 4. Indeed, distinct homomorphisms with the same image-length for every letter in  $V$  produce distinct  $V$ -instances. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} a_{dn}^1 &\geq q^{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i} \\ &\geq q^{\left(\frac{dn - (k-1)N}{r} + r(k-1)\right)} \\ &= cq^{\left(\frac{dn}{r}\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c = q^{(k-1)(r^2-N)/r}$  depends on  $V$  but not on  $n$ . To overcount  $b_n^2$  (and  $a_{dn}^2$  by extension), we consider all  $\binom{n+1}{|V|+1}$  ways to partition an  $n$ -letter length and so determine the lengths of the images of the letters in  $V$ . However, for letters with multiplicity strictly greater than  $r$ , the sum of the lengths of their images must be at least  $\sqrt{n}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} b_n^2 &\leq \binom{n+1}{|V|+1} \sum_{i=\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil}^n q^{\left(\frac{n-i}{r} + \frac{i}{r+1}\right)} \\ &= \binom{n+1}{|V|+1} \sum_{i=\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil}^n q^{\left(\frac{n}{r} - \frac{i}{r(r+1)}\right)} \\ &< n^{|V|+2} q^{\left(\frac{n}{r} - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(r+1)}\right)} \\ &= q^{\frac{n}{r}} o(1). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{dn}^2 &\leq b_{dn}^2 \\ &= o(a_{dn}^1). \end{aligned}$$

That is, the proportion of  $V$ -instances of length  $dn$  that cannot be expressed with  $|\phi(U)| < \sqrt{dn}$  diminishes to 0 as  $n$  grows.  $\square$

### 3. DENSITY OF NONDOUBLED WORDS

In Theorem 2, we showed that the density of nondoubled  $V$  in long random words (over a fixed alphabet with at least two letters) does not approach 0. The natural follow-up question is: Does the density converge? To answer this question, we first prove the following lemma. Fixing  $V = TxU$  where  $x$  is a nonrecurring letter in  $V$ , the lemma tells us that all but a diminishing proportion of  $V$ -instances can be obtained by some  $\phi$  with  $|\phi(TU)|$  negligible.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $V = U_0x_1U_1x_2 \cdots x_rU_r$  with  $r \geq 1$ , where  $U = U_0U_1 \cdots U_r$  is doubled with  $k$  distinct letters (though any particular  $U_j$  may be the empty word), the  $x_i$  are distinct, and no  $x_i$  occurs in  $U$ . Further, let  $\Gamma$  be the  $(k+r)$ -letter alphabet of  $V$  and let  $\Sigma$  be any finite alphabet with  $q \geq 2$  letters. Then there exists a nondecreasing function  $g(n) = o(n)$  such that, for a randomly chosen  $V$ -instance  $W \in \Sigma^n$ , there is asymptotically almost surely a homomorphism  $\phi : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  with  $\phi(V) = W$  and  $|\phi(x_r)| > n - g(n)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X_i = x_1x_2 \cdots x_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq r$  (so  $X_0 = \varepsilon$ ). For any word  $W$ , let  $\Phi_W$  be the set of homomorphisms  $\{\phi : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^* \mid \phi(V) = W\}$  that map  $V$  onto  $W$ . Define  $\mathbf{P}_i$  to be the following proposition for  $i \in [r]$ :

There exists a nondecreasing function  $f_i(n) = o(n)$  such that, for a randomly chosen  $V$ -instance  $W \in \Sigma^n$ , there is asymptotically almost surely a homomorphism  $\phi \in \Phi_W$  such that  $|\phi(UX_{i-1})| \leq f_i(n)$ .

The conclusion of this lemma is an immediate consequence of  $\mathbf{P}_r$ , with  $g(n) = f_r(n)$ , which we will prove by induction. Lemma 5 provides the base case, with  $r = 1$  and  $f_1(n) = \sqrt{n}$ .

Let us prove the inductive step:  $\mathbf{P}_i$  implies  $\mathbf{P}_{i+1}$  for  $i \in [r-1]$ . Roughly speaking, this says: If most instances of  $V$  can be made with a homomorphism  $\phi$  where  $|\phi(UX_{i-1})|$  is negligible, then most instances of  $V$  can be made with a homomorphism  $\phi$  where  $|\phi(UX_i)|$  is negligible.

Assume  $\mathbf{P}_i$  for some  $i \in [r-1]$ , and set  $f(n) = f_i(n)$ . Let  $A_n$  be the set of  $V$ -instances in  $\Sigma^n$  such that  $|\phi(UX_{i-1})| \leq f(n)$  for some  $\phi \in \Phi_W$ . Let  $B_n$  be the set of all other  $V$ -instances in  $\Sigma^n$ .  $\mathbf{P}_i$  implies  $|B_n| = o(|A_n|)$ .

Case 1:  $U_i = \varepsilon$ , so  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  are consecutive in  $V$ . When  $|\phi(UX_{i-1})| \leq f(n)$ , we can define  $\psi$  so that  $\psi(x_i x_{i+1}) = \phi(x_i x_{i+1})$  and  $|\psi(x_i)| = 1$ ; otherwise, let  $\psi(y) = \phi(y)$  for  $y \in \Gamma \setminus \{x_i, x_{i+1}\}$ . Then  $|\phi(UX_i)| \leq f(n) + 1$  and  $\mathbf{P}_{i+1}$  with  $f_{i+1}(n) = f_i(n) + 1$ .

Case 2:  $U_i \neq \varepsilon$ , so  $|U_i| > 0$ . Let  $g(n)$  be some nondecreasing function such that  $f(n) = o(g(n))$  and  $g(n) = o(n)$ . (This will be the  $f_{i+1}$  for  $\mathbf{P}_{i+1}$ .) Let  $A_n^\alpha$  consist of  $W \in A_n$  such that  $|\phi(UX_i)| \leq g(n)$  for some  $\phi \in \Phi_W$ . Let  $A_n^\beta = A_n \setminus A_n^\alpha$ . The objective henceforth is to show that  $|A_n^\beta| = o(|A_n^\alpha|)$ .

For  $Y \in A_n^\beta$ , let  $\Phi_Y^\beta$  be the set of homomorphisms  $\{\phi \in \Phi_Y : |\phi(UX_{i-1})| \leq f(n)\}$  that disqualify  $Y$  from being in  $B_n$ . Hence  $Y \in A_n$  implies  $\Phi_Y^\beta \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $Y \notin A_n^\alpha$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi_Y^\beta$  implies  $|\phi(UX_i)| > g(n)$ , so  $|\phi(x_i)| > g(n) - f(n)$ . Pick  $\phi_Y \in \Phi_Y^\beta$  as follows:

- Primarily, minimize  $|\phi(U_0x_1U_1x_2 \cdots U_{i-1}x_i)|$ ;
- Secondarily, minimize  $|\phi(U_i)|$ ;
- Tertiarily, minimize  $|\phi(U_0x_1U_1x_2 \cdots U_{i-1})|$ .

Roughly speaking, we have chosen  $\phi_Y$  to move the image of  $U_i$  as far left as possible in  $Y$ . But since  $Y \notin A_n^\alpha$ , we want it further left!

To suppress the details we no longer need, let  $Y = Y_1\phi_Y(x_i)\phi_Y(U_i)\phi_Y(x_{i+1})Y_2$ , where  $Y_1 = \phi_Y(U_0x_1U_1x_2 \cdots U_{i-1})$  and  $Y_2 = \phi_Y(U_{i+1}x_{i+2} \cdots U_r)$ .

Consider a word  $Z \in \Gamma^n$  of the form  $Y_1Z_1\phi_Y(U_i)Z_2\phi_Y(U_i)\phi_Y(x_{i+1})Y_2$ , where  $Z_1$  is an initial string of  $\phi_Y(x_i)$  with  $2f(n) \leq |Z_1| < g(n) - 2f(n)$  and  $Z_2$  is a final string of  $\phi_Y(x_i)$ . (See Figure 1.) In a sense, the image of  $x_i$  was too long, so we replace a leftward substring with a copy of the image of  $U_i$ . Let  $C_Y$  be the set of all such  $Z$  with  $|Z_1|$  a multiple of  $f(n)$ . For every  $Z \in C_Y$  we can see that  $Z \in A_n^\alpha$ , by defining  $\psi \in \Phi_Z$  as follows:

$$\psi(y) = \begin{cases} Z_1 & \text{if } y = x_i; \\ Z_2\phi_Y(U_i)\phi_Y(x_{i+1}) & \text{if } y = x_{i+1}; \\ \phi_Y(y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$Y =$	$Y_1$		$\phi_Y(x_i)$	$\phi_Y(U_i)$	$\phi_Y(x_{i+1})$	$Y_2$	
$Z =$	$Y_1$	$Z_1$	$\phi_Y(U_i)$	$Z_2$	$\phi_Y(U_i)$	$\phi_Y(x_{i+1})$	$Y_2$
		$\psi(x_i)$		$\psi(x_{i+1})$			

FIGURE 1. Replacing a section of  $\phi_Y(x_i)$  in  $Y$  to create  $Z$ .

Claim 1:  $\liminf_{|Y|=n \rightarrow \infty} |C_Y| = \infty$ .

Since we want  $2f(n) \leq |Z_1| < g(n) - 2f(n)$ , and  $g(n) - 2f(n) < |\phi_Y(x_i)| - |\phi_Y(U_i)|$ , there are  $g(n) - 4f(n)$  places to put the copy of  $\phi_Y(U_i)$ . To avoid any double-counting that might occur when some  $Z$  and  $Z'$  have their new copies of  $\phi_Y(U_i)$  in overlapping locations, we further required that  $f(n)$  divide  $|Z_1|$ . This produces the following lower bound:

$$|C_Y| \geq \left\lfloor \frac{g(n) - 4f(n)}{f(n)} \right\rfloor \rightarrow \infty.$$

Claim 2: For distinct  $Y, Y' \in A_n^\beta$ ,  $C_Y \cap C_{Y'} = \emptyset$ .

To prove Claim 2, take  $Y, Y' \in A_n^\beta$  with  $Z \in C_Y \cap C_{Y'}$ . Define  $Y_1 = \phi_Y(U_0x_1U_1x_2 \cdots U_{i-1})$  and  $Y_2 = \phi_Y(U_{i+1}x_{i+2} \cdots U_r)$  as before and  $Y'_1 = \phi_{Y'}(U_0x_1U_1x_2 \cdots U_{i-1})$  and  $Y'_2 = \phi_{Y'}(U_{i+1}x_{i+2} \cdots U_r)$ . Now for some  $Z_1, Z'_1, Z_2, Z'_2$ ,

$$Y_1Z_1\phi_Y(U_i)Z_2\phi_Y(U_i)\phi_Y(x_{i+1})Y_2 = Z = Y'_1Z'_1\phi_{Y'}(U_i)Z'_2\phi_{Y'}(U_i)\phi_{Y'}(x_{i+1})Y'_2,$$

with the following constraints:

- (i)  $|Y_1\phi_Y(U_i)| \leq |\phi_Y(Ux_i)| \leq f(n)$ ;
- (ii)  $|Y'_1\phi_{Y'}(U_i)| \leq |\phi_{Y'}(Ux_i)| \leq f(n)$ ;
- (iii)  $2f(n) \leq |Z_1| < g(n) - 2f(n)$ ;
- (iv)  $2f(n) \leq |Z'_1| < g(n) - 2f(n)$ ;
- (v)  $|Z_1\phi_Y(U_i)Z_2| = |\phi_Y(x_i)| > g(n) - f(n)$ ;
- (vi)  $|Z'_1\phi_{Y'}(U_i)Z'_2| = |\phi_{Y'}(x_i)| > g(n) - f(n)$ .

As a consequence:

- $|Y_1Z_1\phi_Y(U_i)| < g(n) - f(n) < |Z'_1\phi_{Y'}(U_i)Z'_2|$ , by (i), (iii), and (vi);
- $|Y_1Z_1| \geq |Z_1| > 2f(n) > |Y'_1|$ , by (iii) and (ii).

Therefore, the copy of  $\phi_Y(U_i)$  added to  $Z$  is properly within the noted occurrence of  $Z'_1\phi_{Y'}(U_i)Z'_2$  in  $Z'$ , which is in the place of  $\phi_{Y'}(x_i)$  in  $Y'$ . In particular, the added copy of  $\phi_Y(U_i)$  in  $Z$  interferes with neither  $Y'_1$  nor the original copy of  $\phi_{Y'}(U_i)$ . Thus  $Y'_1$  is an initial substring of  $Y$  and  $\phi_{Y'}(U_i)\phi_{Y'}(x_{i+1})Y'_2$  is a final substring of  $Y$ . Likewise,  $Y_1$  is an initial substring of  $Y'$  and  $\phi_Y(U_i)\phi_Y(x_{i+1})Y_2$  is a final substring of  $Y'$ . By the selection process of  $\phi_Y$  and  $\phi_{Y'}$ , we know that  $Y_1 = Y'_1$  and  $\phi_Y(U_i)\phi_Y(x_{i+1})Y_2 = \phi_{Y'}(U_i)\phi_{Y'}(x_{i+1})Y'_2$ . Finally, since  $f(n)$  divides  $Z_1$  and  $Z'_1$ , we deduce that  $Z_1 = Z'_1$ . Otherwise, the added copies of  $\phi_Y(U_i)$  in  $Z$  and of  $\phi_{Y'}(U_i)$  in  $Z'$  would not overlap, resulting in a contradiction to the selection of  $\phi_Y$  and  $\phi_{Y'}$ . Therefore,  $Y = Y'$ , concluding the proof of Claim 2.

Now  $C_Y \subset A_n^\alpha$  for  $Y \in A_n^\beta$ . Claim 1 and Claim 2 together imply that  $|A_n^\beta| = o(|A_n^\alpha|)$ . □

Observe that the choice of  $\sqrt{n}$  in Lemma 5 was arbitrary. The proof works for any function  $f(n) = o(n)$  with  $f(n) \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, where Lemma 6 claims the existence of some  $g(n) \rightarrow \infty$ , the statement is in fact true for all  $g(n) \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma)$  be the probability that a uniformly randomly selected length- $n$   $\Sigma$ -word is an instance of  $V$ . That is,

$$\mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma) = \frac{|\{W \in \Sigma^n \mid \phi(V) = W \text{ for some homomorphism } \phi : L(V)^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*\}|}{|\Sigma|^n}.$$

**Fact 7.** For any  $V$  and  $\Sigma$  and for  $W_n \in \Sigma^n$  chosen uniformly at random,

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n+1}{2} \mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) &= \sum_{m=1}^n (n+1-m) \mathbb{E}(\delta_{sur}(V, W_m)) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^n (n+1-m) \mathbb{I}_m(V, \Sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Denote  $\mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma)$ . When does this limit exist?

**Theorem 8.** *For nondoubled  $V$  and alphabet  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma)$  exists. Moreover,  $\mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $|\Sigma| = 1$ , then  $\mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma) = 1$  for  $n \geq |V|$ .

Assume  $|\Sigma| = q \geq 2$ . Let  $V = TxU$  where  $x$  is the right-most nonrecurring letter in  $V$ . Let  $\Gamma = L(V)$  be the alphabet of letters in  $V$ . By Lemma 6, there is a nondecreasing function  $g(n) = o(n)$  such that, for a randomly chosen  $V$ -instance  $W \in \Sigma^n$ , there is asymptotically almost surely a homomorphism  $\phi : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  with  $\phi(V) = W$  and  $|\phi(x_r)| > n - g(n)$ .

Let  $a_n$  be the number of  $W \in \Sigma^n$  such that there exists  $\phi : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  with  $\phi(V) = W$  and  $|\phi(x_r)| > n - g(n)$ . Lemma 6 tells us that  $\frac{a_n}{q^n} \sim \mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma)$ . Note that  $\frac{a_n}{q^n}$  is bounded. It suffices to show that  $a_{n+1} \geq qa_n$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Pick  $n$  so that  $g(n) < \frac{n}{3}$ .

For length- $n$   $V$ -instance  $W$  counted by  $a_n$ , let  $\phi_W$  be a homomorphism that maximizing  $|\phi_W(x_r)|$  and, of such, minimizes  $|\phi_W(T)|$ . For each  $\phi_W$  and each  $a \in \Sigma$ , let  $\phi_W^a$  be the function such that, if  $\phi_W(x_r) = AB$  with  $|A| = \lfloor |\phi_W(x_r)|/2 \rfloor$ , then  $\phi_W^a(x) = AaB$ ;  $\phi_W^a(y) = \phi_W(y)$  for each  $y \in \Gamma \setminus \{x\}$ . Roughly speaking, we are inserting  $a$  into the middle of the image of  $x$ .

Suppose we are double-counting, so  $\phi_W^a(V) = \phi_Y^b(V)$ . As

$$|\phi_W(x_r)|/2 > (n - g(n))/2 > n/3 > g(n) \geq |\phi_Y(TU)|$$

and vice-versa, the inserted  $a$  (resp.,  $b$ ) of one map does not appear in the image of  $TU$  under the other map. So  $\phi_W(T)$  is an initial string and  $\phi_W(U)$  a final string of  $\phi_Y(V)$ , and vice-versa. By the selection criteria of  $\phi_W$  and  $\phi_Y$ ,  $|\phi_W(T)| = |\phi_Y(T)|$  and  $|\phi_W(U)| = |\phi_Y(U)|$ . Therefore the location of the added  $a$  in  $\phi_W^a(V)$  and the added  $b$  in  $\phi_Y^b(V)$  are the same. Hence,  $a = b$  and  $W = Y$ .

Moreover  $\mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma) \geq q^{-\|V\|} > 0$ .  $\square$

**Example 9.** *Let  $V = x_1x_2 \cdots x_k$  have  $k$  distinct letters. Since every word of length at least  $k$  is a  $V$ -instance,  $\mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma) = 1$  for every alphabet  $\Sigma$ . When even one letter in  $V$  is repeated, finding  $\mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma)$  becomes a nontrivial task.*

**Example 10.** *Zimin's classification of unavoidable words is as follows [11, 12]: Every unavoidable word with  $n$  distinct letters is encountered by  $Z_n$ , where  $Z_0 = \varepsilon$  and  $Z_{i+1} = Z_i x_{i+1} Z_i$  with  $x_{i+1}$  a letter not occurring in  $Z_i$ . For example,  $Z_2 = aba$  and  $Z_3 = abacaba$ . The authors can calculate  $\mathbb{I}(Z_2, \Sigma)$  and  $\mathbb{I}(Z_3, \Sigma)$  to arbitrary precision [5].*

TABLE 1.  $\mathbb{I}(Z_2, \Sigma)$  and  $\mathbb{I}(Z_3, \Sigma)$  computed to 7 decimal places.

$ \Sigma $	2	3	4	5	6	7	$\dots$
$\mathbb{I}(Z_2, \Sigma)$	0.7322132	0.4430202	0.3122520	0.2399355	0.1944229	0.1632568	$\dots$
$\mathbb{I}(Z_3, \Sigma)$	0.1194437	0.0183514	0.0051925	0.0019974	0.0009253	0.0004857	$\dots$

**Corollary 11.** *Let  $V$  be a nondoubled word on any alphabet. Fix an alphabet  $\Sigma$ , and let  $W_n \in \Sigma^n$  be chosen uniformly at random. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) = \mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Pick  $N = N_\epsilon$  sufficiently large so  $|\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  when  $n > N$ . Applying Fact 7 for  $n > \max(N, 4N/\epsilon)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n))| &= \left| \mathbb{I} \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n (n+1-m) - \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n (n+1-m) \mathbb{I}_m(V, \Sigma) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n (n+1-m) |\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{I}_m(V, \Sigma)| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^N + \sum_{m=N+1}^n \right] (n+1-m) |\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{I}_m(V, \Sigma)| \\
&< \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \epsilon n/4 \rfloor} (n+1-m) 1 + \sum_{m=N+1}^n (n+1-m) \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right] \\
&< \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left[ \frac{\epsilon n}{4} n + \binom{n+1}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right] \\
&< \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

□

## 4. CONCENTRATION

For doubled  $V$  and  $|\Sigma| > 1$ , we established that the expectation of the density  $\delta(V, W_n)$  converges to zero. In particular, we know the following.

**Proposition 12.** *Let  $V$  be a doubled word,  $\Sigma$  an alphabet with  $q \geq 2$  letters, and  $W_n \in \Sigma^n$  chosen uniformly at random. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) \sim \frac{1}{n}.$$

*Proof.* In the proof of Theorem 2, we showed that

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) \leq \frac{\left( \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \binom{j+1}{k+1} q^{-j} \right) (n - |V| + 1)}{\binom{n+1}{2}} = O(n^{-1}).$$

The lower bound follows from an observation made in the Background section: “the event that  $W_n[b|V|, (b+1)|V|]$  is an instance of  $V$  has nonzero probability and is independent for distinct  $b$ .” Hence

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) \geq \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{|V|} \right\rfloor \mathbb{I}_{|V|}(V, \Sigma) = \Omega(n^{-1}).$$

□

To bound variance and other higher order moments, we observe the following upper bound on  $q^n \mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma)$ . Hencefore, if  $\binom{x}{y}$  is used with nonintegral  $x$ , we mean

$$\binom{x}{y} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{y-1} (x-i)}{y!}.$$

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $V$  be a doubled word with exactly  $k$  letters and  $\Sigma$  an alphabet with  $q \geq 2$  letters. Moreover, let  $L(V) = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  with  $r_i$  be the multiplicity of  $x_i$  in  $V$  for each  $i \in [k]$ ,  $d = \gcd_{i \in [k]}(r_i)$ , and  $r = \min_{i \in [k]}(r_i)$ . Then,*

$$\mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma) \leq \binom{n/d + k + 1}{k + 1} q^{n(1-r)/r}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $a_n(\bar{r})$  be the number of  $k$ -tuples  $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^k$  so that  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i r_i = n$ . Then  $a_n(\bar{r}) \leq \binom{n/d + k + 1}{k + 1}$ . Indeed, if  $d \nmid n$ , then  $a_n(\bar{r}) = 0$ . Otherwise, for each  $\bar{a}$  counted by  $a_n(\bar{r})$ , there is a unique corresponding  $\bar{b} \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^k$  such that  $1 \leq b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_k = n/d$  and  $b_j = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^j a_i r_i$ . The number of strictly increasing  $k$ -tuples of positive integers with largest value  $n/d$  is  $\binom{n/d + k + 1}{k + 1}$ . Let  $W_n \in \Sigma^n$  chosen uniformly at random. Note that  $q^n \mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma)$  is the number of instances of  $V$  in  $\Sigma^n$ . Thus,

$$q^n \mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma) \leq \mathbb{E}(\text{hom}(V, W_n)) < \binom{n/d + k + 1}{k + 1} q^{n/r}.$$



□

We obtain nontrivial concentration around the mean using covariance and the fact that most “short” substrings in a word do not overlap.

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $V$  be a doubled word with  $k$  distinct letters,  $\Sigma$  an alphabet with  $q \geq 2$  letters, and  $W_n \in \Sigma^n$  chosen uniformly at random.*

$$\text{Var}(\delta(V, W_n)) = O\left(\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n))^2 \frac{(\log n)^3}{n}\right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $X_n = \binom{n+1}{2} \delta(V, W_n)$  be the random variable counting the number of substrings of  $W_n$  that are  $V$ -instances. For fixed  $n$ , let  $X_{a,b}$  be the indicator variable for the event that  $W_n[a, b]$  is a  $V$ -instance, so  $X_n = \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^n X_{a,b}$ . Let  $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$  denote that  $[a, b]$  and  $[c, d]$  overlap. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X_{a,b}, X_{c,d}) &\leq \mathbb{E}(X_{a,b} X_{c,d}) \\ &\leq \min(\mathbb{E}(X_{a,b}), \mathbb{E}(X_{c,d})) \\ &= \min(\mathbb{I}_{(b-a)}(V, \Sigma), \mathbb{I}_{(d-c)}(V, \Sigma)) \\ &\leq \binom{i/d + k + 1}{k + 1} q^{i(1-r)/r}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \{b-a, d-c\}$ . For  $i < n/3$ , the number of intervals in  $W_n$  of length at most  $i$  that overlap a fixed interval of length  $i$  is less than  $\binom{3i}{2}$ . Define the following function on  $n$ , which acts as a threshold for “short” substrings of a random length- $n$  word:

$$s(n) = -2 \log_q(n^{-(k+5)}) = t \log n,$$

where  $t = \frac{2(k+5)}{\log(q)} > 0$ . For sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X_n) &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a < b \leq n \\ 0 \leq c < d \leq n}} \text{Cov}(X_{a,b}, X_{c,d}) \\ &\leq \sum_{(a,b) \sim (c,d)} \min(\mathbb{I}_{(b-a)}(V, \Sigma), \mathbb{I}_{(d-c)}(V, \Sigma)) \\ &= \left[ \sum_{\substack{(a,b) \sim (c,d) \\ b-a, d-c \leq s(n)}} + \sum_{\substack{(a,b) \sim (c,d) \\ \text{else}}} \right] \min(\mathbb{I}_{(b-a)}(V, \Sigma), \mathbb{I}_{(d-c)}(V, \Sigma)) \\ &< 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor s(n) \rfloor} (n+1-i) \binom{3i}{2} \cdot 1 \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=\lceil s(n) \rceil}^n (n+1-i) \binom{n+1}{2} \cdot \binom{i/d + k + 1}{k + 1} q^{i(1-r)/r} \\ &< 2s(n)n(3s(n))^2 + nnn^2 n^{k+1} q^{s(n)(1-r)/r} \\ &= 18(t \log n)^3 n + n^{5+k} q^{\log_q(n^{-(k+5)})} \\ &= O(n(\log n)^3). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) = \Omega(n^{-1})$  by Corollary 12,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(\delta(V, W_n)) &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{X_n}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right) \\
&= \frac{\text{Var}(X_n)}{\binom{n+1}{2}^2} \\
&= O\left(\frac{(\log n)^3}{n^3}\right) \\
&= O\left(\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n))^2 \frac{(\log n)^3}{n}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 15.** *Let  $V$  be a word with  $k$  distinct letters, each occurring at least  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  times. Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $q$ -letter alphabet and  $W_n \in \Sigma^n$  chosen uniformly at random. Recall that  $\binom{n+1}{2}\delta(V, W_n)$  is the number substrings of  $W_n$  that are  $V$ -instances. Then for any nondecreasing function  $f(n) > 0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\binom{n+1}{2}\delta(V, W_n) > n \cdot f(n)\right) < n^{k+3}q^{f(n)(1-r)/r}.$$

*Proof.* Lemma 13 gives a bound on the probability that randomly chosen  $W_n \in \Sigma^n$  is a  $V$ -instance:

$$\mathbb{P}(\delta_{sur}(V, W_n) = 1) = \mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma) \leq \binom{n/d + k + 1}{k + 1} q^{n(1-r)/r}.$$

Since  $\delta_{sur}(V, W) \in \{0, 1\}$ ,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor f(n) \rfloor} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-m} \delta_{sur}(V, W_n[\ell, \ell + m]) < n \cdot f(n).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\binom{n+1}{2}\delta(V, W_n) > n \cdot f(n)\right) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-m} \delta_{sur}(V, W_n[\ell, \ell + m]) > n \cdot f(n)\right) \\
&< \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{m=\lceil f(n) \rceil}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-m} \delta_{sur}(V, W_n[\ell, \ell + m]) > 0\right) \\
&< \sum_{m=\lceil f(n) \rceil}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-m} \mathbb{P}(\delta_{sur}(V, W_n[\ell, \ell + m]) > 0) \\
&= \sum_{m=\lceil f(n) \rceil}^n (n - m + 1) \mathbb{P}(\delta_{sur}(V, W_m) = 1) \\
&\leq \sum_{m=\lceil f(n) \rceil}^n (n - m + 1) \binom{m/d + k + 1}{k + 1} q^{m(1-r)/r} \\
&< n(n - m + 1) \binom{n/d + k + 1}{k + 1} q^{f(n)(1-r)/r} \\
&< n^{k+3} q^{f(n)(1-r)/r}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 16.** *Let  $V$  be a doubled word,  $\Sigma$  an alphabet with  $q \geq 2$  letters, and  $W_n \in \Sigma^n$  chosen uniformly at random. Then the  $p^{\text{th}}$  raw moment and the  $p^{\text{th}}$  central moment of  $\delta(V, W_n)$  are both  $O((\log(n)/n)^p)$ .*

*Proof.* Let us use Lemma 15 to first bound the  $p$ -th raw moments for  $\delta(V, W_n)$ , assuming  $r \geq 2$ . To minimize our bound, generalize the threshold function from Theorem 14:

$$s_p(n) = \frac{r}{1-r} \log_q(n^{-(k+5+p)}) = t_p \log n,$$

where  $t_p = \frac{r(k+5+p)}{(r-1)\log(q)} > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)^p) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \mathbb{P}\left(\delta(V, W_n) = \frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right) \left(\frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right)^p \\ &< \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n \cdot s_p(n) \rfloor} \mathbb{P}\left(\delta(V, W_n) = \frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right) \left(\frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right)^p \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=\lceil n \cdot s_p(n) \rceil}^{\binom{n+1}{2}} n^{k+3} q^{s_p(n)(1-r)/r} \left(\frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right)^p \\ &< \left(\frac{n \cdot s_p(n)}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right)^p + n^{k+5} q^{s_p(n)(1-r)/r} \\ &= \left(\frac{nt_p \log n}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right)^p + n^{k+5} q^{\log_q(n^{-(k+5+p)})} \\ &= O_p\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^p\right). \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $p = 1$ , there exists some  $c > 2$  such that  $\mathbb{E}_n = \mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) < (c \log n)/n$ . We use this upper bound on the expectation (1st raw moment) to bound the central moments.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(|\delta(V, W_n) - \mathbb{E}_n|^p) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \mathbb{P}\left(\delta(V, W_n) = \frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right) \left|\frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}} - \mathbb{E}_n\right|^p \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n \cdot s_p(n) \rfloor} \mathbb{P}\left(\delta(V, W_n) = \frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right) \left(\frac{c \log n}{n}\right)^p \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=\lceil n s_p(n) \rceil}^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \mathbb{P}\left(\delta(V, W_n) = \frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right) (1)^p \\ &< \left(\frac{c \log n}{n}\right)^p + n^{k+5} q^{s_p(n)(1-r)/r} \\ &= O_p\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^p\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Question 17.** For nondoubled word  $V$ , to what extent is the density of  $V$  in random words concentrated about its mean?

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. R. Bean, A. Ehrenfeucht, and G. F. McNulty, *Avoidable Patterns in Strings of Symbols*, Pac. J. of Math. **85:2** (1979), 261–294.
- [2] J. P. Bell and T. L. Goh, *Exponential lower bounds for the number of words of uniform length avoiding a pattern*, Information and Computation **205** (2007), 1295–1306.

- [3] F. Blanchet-Sadri and B. Woodhouse, *Strict bounds for pattern avoidance*, Theoretical Computer Science **506** (2013).
- [4] J. Cooper and D. Rorabaugh, *Bounds on Zimin Word Avoidance*, Congressus Numerantium **222** (2014), 87–95.
- [5] ———, *Asymptotic Density of Zimin Words*, Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science **18:3#3** (2016).
- [6] J. D. Currie, *Pattern avoidance: themes and variations*, Theoretical Computer Science **339** (2005).
- [7] M. Lothaire, *Algebraic Combinatorics on Words*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [8] L. Lovász, *Large Networks and Graph Limits*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2012.
- [9] J. Tao, *Pattern occurrence statistics and applications to the Ramsey theory of unavoidable patterns*, arXiv:1406.0450.
- [10] A. Thue, *Über unendliche Zeichenreihen*, Norske Vid. Skrifter I Mat.-Nat. Kl., vol. 7, Kristiania, 1906.
- [11] A. I. Zimin, *Blokirujushhie mnozhestva termov*, Mat. Sb. **119** (1982), 363–375.
- [12] ———, *Blocking sets of terms*, Math. USSR-Sb. **47** (1984), 353–364.